



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,163	06/26/2001	Asko Komsi	NC30575	5195
29683	7590 07/23/2004		EXAM	INER
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			IQBAL, KHAWAR	
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHELTON, C1 00464-0212			2686	/0
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004	/ -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/894,163	KOMSI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khawar Iqbal	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commous if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma junication. O) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I will, by statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .					
	2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1=16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	·	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Thed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received i of the priority documents have be nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	.					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Watanable (6539240).
- 3. Regarding claim 1 Watanabe teaches a system for commanding an entity, comprising (col. 3, lines 1-31):

an entity player for invoking an entity (col.6, lines 19-53), wherein the entity comprises a plurality of methods and further comprises at least a body and a brain for specifying at least an appearance and a behavior, respectively, of the entity when the entity is displayed to a user (col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 36, col.8, lines 50-61);

an entity editor coupled to the entity player (col. 7, lines 65-col. 8, lines 36, col. 50-61); and

at least one control device coupled to the entity player, wherein the entity player invokes the entity methods in accordance with the control device (col. 9, lines 1-55, see above).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 2 Watanabe teaches a method for commanding an entity, comprising (col. 3, lines 1-31):

selecting an entity wherein the entity includes comprises a plurality of commands that are associated with the entity and further comprises at least a body (col.6, lines 19-53) and a brain for specifying at least an appearance and a behavior respectively of the entity when the entity is displayed to a user (col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 36, col. 8, lines 50-61); and selecting at least one entity command (col. 9, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 3 Watanabe teaches where selecting the entity commands is performed through the use of an entity editor (col. 9, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 4 Watanabe teaches a method for commanding an entity, comprising (col. 3, lines 1-31):

downloading an entity, wherein the entity is associated with a plurality of commands and comprises at least a body and a brain for specifying at least an appearance and a behavior, respectively, of the entity when the entity is displayed to a user (col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 36, col. 8, lines 50-61);

opening the entity in an entity editor to determine the plurality of commands associated with the entity (col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 36, col. 8, lines 50-61); selecting at least one command; and constructing a message from the selected command (col. 9, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 5 Watanabe teaches a method for interpreting an entity, comprising (col. 3, lines 1-31):

Art Unit: 2686

retrieving, by an entity-enabled device (col.15, lines 16-36), an entity that comprises a plurality of commands and further comprises at least a body and a brain for specifying at least an appearance and a behavior, respectively, of the entity when the entity is displayed to a user, wherein the entity-enabled device includes an entity player for interpreting commands (col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 36, col. 8, lines 50-61);

determining, by the entity player, whether the commands are compatible with the entity-enabled device (col.3, lines 18-45, col. 12, lines 6-40); and

interpreting, by the entity player, commands determined to be compatible with on the entity-enabled device (col. 3, lines 18-45, col. 12, lines 6-40).

Regarding claim 6 Watanabe teaches a multi-component logical entity storable in a memory medium comprising (col. 3, lines 1-31):

a media pool component, a body component, a brain component, an entity methods component that comprises at least one entity method (col.9, lines 15-24 and 41-67); and

a bookmark component, where said entity is responsive to a player to be invoked by the player, where said player is coupled to an entity editor and to at least one control device and executes the at least one entity method in cooperation with the at least one control device (col. 7, line 65-col. 8, line 36, col. 8, lines 50-61, col. 11, lines 15-43).

Regarding claim 7 Watanabe teaches where said player comprises an entity language interpreter that is responsive to a received entity method comprising a command sequence to parse and interpret commands of the command sequence (col. 8, lines 1-35, col. 9, lines 1-55).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 8 Watanabe teaches where said player, when interpreting a command, refers to entity instincts to determine what actions are required to execute the command, and makes calls to resources in order to run the required actions (col. 9, lines 1-55, col. 11, lines 15-65).

Regarding claim 9 Watanabe teaches where said player is embodied within a wireless communications terminal (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 10 Watanabe teaches where said player is embodied within a component of a wireless network and invokes the entity and executes the at least one entity method on behalf of a wireless communications terminal (col. 9, lines 1-55, col. 11, lines 15-65, col. 14, line 62-col. 15, line 50).

Regarding claim 11 Watanabe teaches where a user of the wireless communications terminal views a result of the execution of the entity using an entity enabled device (col. 9, lines 1-55, col. 11, lines 15-65, col. 14, line 62-col. 15, line 50).

Regarding claim 12 Watanabe teaches where a user of the wireless communications terminal views a result of the execution of the entity with a computer that is coupled to the player through at least one of a wireless and a wireline connection (col. 9, lines 1-55, fig. 1).

Regarding claim 13 Watanabe teaches where said entity is received over a wireless communications channel as part of a message (col. 9, lines 1-55, col. 11, lines 15-65, col. 14, line 62-col. 15, line 50).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 14 Watanabe teaches where said entity is transmitted to a wireless communications channel as part of a message (col. 9, lines 1-55, col. 11, lines 15-65, col. 14, line 62-col. 15, line 50).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is 703-306-3015.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **BANKS-HAROLD**, **MARSHA**, can be reached at 703-305-4379.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2684 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Khawar Iqbal

LESTER G. KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINED